Ocean acidification and warming will lower coral reef resilience
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Abstract
Ocean warming and acidification from increasing levels of atmospheric CO₂ represent major global threats to coral reefs, and are in many regions exacerbated by local-scale disturbances such as overfishing and nutrient enrichment. Our understanding of global threats and local-scale disturbances on reefs is growing, but their relative contribution to reef resilience and vulnerability in the future is unclear. Here, we analyse quantitatively how different combinations of CO₂ and fishing pressure on herbivores will affect the ecological resilience of a simplified benthic reef community, as defined by its capacity to maintain and recover to coral-dominated states. We use a dynamic community model integrated with the growth and mortality responses for branching corals (Acropora) and fleshy macroalgae (Lobophora). We operationalize the resilience framework by parameterizing the response function for coral growth (calcification) by ocean acidification and warming, coral bleaching and mortality by warming, macroalgal mortality by herbivore grazing and macroagal growth via nutrient loading. The model was run for changes in sea surface temperature and water chemistry predicted by the rise in atmospheric CO₂ projected from the IPCC’s fossil-fuel intensive A1FI scenario during this century. Results demonstrated that severe acidification and warming alone can lower reef resilience (via impairment of coral growth and increased coral mortality) even under high grazing intensity and low nutrients. Further, the threshold at which herbivore overfishing (reduced grazing) leads to a coral–algal phase shift was lowered by acidification and warming. These analyses support two important conclusions: Firstly, reefs already subjected to herbivore overfishing and nutrification are likely to be more vulnerable to increasing CO₂. Secondly, under CO₂ regimes above 450–500 ppm, management of local-scale disturbances will become critical to keeping reefs within an Acropora-rich domain.

Keywords: climate change, coral reefs, herbivory, ocean acidification, resilience

Received 16 March 2010; revised version received 27 October 2010 and accepted 29 October 2010

Introduction
A fundamental question in ecology is to what extent local vs. global processes drive ecosystem dynamics (Davis et al., 1998; Karlson & Cornell, 1998; Walther et al., 2002). Coral reefs, which are highly diverse and valuable ecosystems, are under increasing threat from both global climate change and local-scale stressors (Wilkinson, 2004; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). At the global scale, ocean warming is predicted to lead to an increasing frequency and intensity of coral bleaching events (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999) and associated mortality (Anthony et al., 2007). Also, ocean acidification due to the uptake of CO₂ (Sabine et al., 2004) is predicted to lead to reduced rates of calcification for most marine calcifying organisms including corals (Kleypas & Langdon, 2006). By reducing the growth potential and survivorship of corals, ocean warming and acidification are likely to change the competitive hierarchy of corals and macroalgae – at least indirectly by reducing the ability of corals to maintain or rapidly colonize available space following disturbances (Carilli et al., 2009). Generally, differential changes in the growth rates of species competing for a limited resource, such as space, influence the equilibrium abundances of the competing species (e.g. Jensen, 1987). At the local scale, overfishing
of herbivores can reduce the top-down control of macroalgae (Bellwood et al., 2004; Mumby et al., 2007) while nutrient enrichment may stimulate macroalgal growth rates (Schaffelke & Klumpp, 1998) – factors that work in combination to promote shifts towards algal dominance.

The effects of global and local-scale disturbances on coral reefs have been the focus of several reviews (e.g. Hughes et al., 2003; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). Few studies, however, have provided formal quantitative analyses of how global impacts will interact with local stressors (but see Jackson et al., 2001; Halpern et al., 2008). In particular, the relative roles of global and local disturbances in driving reef system resilience, as defined by the system’s ability to absorb and recover from impact while retaining functional and structural integrity (Nyström et al., 2000; Folke et al., 2004), are largely unknown. The resilience of coral reefs has been attributed mostly to the top-down control of algal biomass via grazing (Hughes, 1994; Mumby et al., 2007). Bottom-up processes such as nutrient enrichment also affect coral–algal dynamics (Lapointe, 1997; McCook et al., 2001), but only under reduced grazing (Mumby et al., 2006) – and have been considered of secondary importance in the context of phase shifts between corals and fleshy macroalgae (Hughes et al., 1999; Jompa & McCook, 2002). Importantly, however, no studies have formally analysed the role of ocean warming and acidification as a factor affecting coral and macroalgal dynamics. Interactions between corals and macroalgae are implicit functions of coral and algal physiology, growth and mortality (Tanner, 1995), but have not been accounted for in their relationship to environmental change over time (Tanner et al., 1994; Mumby et al., 2007). A recent review suggests that the equilibrial states of reef systems are sensitive to ocean acidification (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). However, to understand how reef-community states and their resilience to perturbations vary under changing global environmental conditions (Scheffer et al., 2001), the key variables ocean acidification and warming and their interactions with local-scale disturbances must be formally accounted for under nonequilibrium conditions.

In the context of reef resilience, ocean acidification, reduced grazing and nutrification can be viewed as press-type processes that define axes of changing environmental conditions, whereas warming increases the frequency of acute (stochastic) perturbations (thermal anomalies), potentially shifting the reef community to low-coral states with increasing frequency and intensity. Here, we develop a novel mechanistic resilience model and analyse how increasing atmospheric CO2 (forcing ocean acidification and warming) and local-scale processes (herbivory and nutrification) will interact and drive the dynamics and resilience patterns of a simplified benthic reef-community system consisting of three common groups: branching corals, fleshy macroalgae and turfs (free space for coral and algal colonization). We use established relationships between ocean chemistry and coral growth rates and between ocean warming, coral bleaching and mortality, as input functions into the resilience model for the benthic model reef community. We then run a series of simulations to address a key question: how will the resilience of this system vary under different ocean acidification, warming, and algal grazing scenarios? To answer this question we focus on determining the threshold levels of CO2 and grazing for when the community shifts from a coral-dominated regime (high coral resilience) to a regime of alternate coral-algal states (low coral resilience) and further to an algal-dominated regime (very low coral resilience).

Methods

Model development

We extend an existing model (Mumby et al., 2007) to analyse how increasing CO2 and the local-scale disturbances overfishing and nutrification operate mechanistically in defining coral resilience. Our analytical approach builds on a parsimonious model for the dynamics of corals and macroalgae, using growth and mortality as the vital rates. Our approach does not provide absolute measures of coral and macroalgal abundance with high confidence, but allows an analytical comparison of the relative roles of environmental and ecological processes as drivers of resilience patterns – a comparison that would otherwise be intractable using more complicated community models (see also Mumby et al., 2007). We use a benthic system described by three main groups, branching corals (C), fleshy macroalgae (M) and ‘free’ space (F, including thin algal turfs and crustose coralline algae). While this simple system does not resolve the dynamics of complex reef communities, it allows questions to be addressed regarding the effect of complex environmental scenarios on reef resilience. The system is driven by three key processes: (i) mortality of corals and macroalgae, (ii) the rate at which corals and macroalgae grow and recruit into areas of free space, and (iii) the probability of competitive success by macroalgae over corals (the factors and processes used to construct the coral reef resilience framework are outlined in Fig. 1). We focused primarily on grazing as the local driver to facilitate assessments of the relative effects of global CO2 on coral reefs within the context of a large body of literature on local key disturbances. To partly account for other local or regional disturbance factors such as storm damage, crown-of-thorns starfish outbreaks, ultraviolet radiation and other physical stressors that do not have a clear correlation with CO2, we included a significant stochastic background mortality for corals in the simulations. For analytical tractability we used the following set of four assumptions: (1) ocean acidification primarily affects coral calcification,
rates are constrained by the relative abundances of the interacting groups, hence
\[
\frac{dC}{dt} = C(r_C F - d_C - a M)
\]  
(1)

\[
\frac{dM}{dt} = M(a C - g_M + \gamma F).
\]  
(2)

Because the sum of corals, macroalgae and free space equal unity, free space (turfs) can be described as \( F = 1 - M - C \). Although the competitive ability of adult corals far exceed that of juveniles (Connolly & Muto, 2003), our model does not distinguish competitive strengths between juveniles and adults, potentially overestimating the capacity for coral replenishment following disturbances. Also, we consider space colonization via growth of adult colonies to occur more prominently than via larval recruitment (Connell et al., 1997; Diaz-Pulido et al., 2009). Competitive strengths of corals are thus largely determined by the effect of the environmental variables on their rates of growth and mortality. To parameterize the model by ocean acidification and warming, we converted the constants for growth rate, \( r_C \), and coral mortality, \( d_C \), to functions parameterized by aragonite saturation state, \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \) and sea surface temperature, \( T \). These are two of the key environmental parameters relevant to coral growth in tropical seas (Lough & Barnes, 1997; Langdon & Atkinson, 2005; Kleypas et al., 2006; Silverman et al., 2007). The rate of coral calcification is a proxy for linear extension, which is an important mechanism of space-filling and competition (Lang & Chornesky, 1990) and data suggest that changes in calcification rate are proportional to changes in linear extension rate (Jokiel et al., 2008; De’ath et al., 2009). To partly account for the variation in calcification responses of Acropora under varying aragonite saturation state and temperature we fit an empirical model for net rate of calcification (\( G_{\text{net}} \)) to experimental growth data for A. intermedia on Heron Reef (southern Great Barrier Reef, Australia). We used the relative increase in buoyant weight of groups of A. intermedia branches (\( N = 20 \) per treatment level) exposed for 8 weeks to three or four \( CO_2 \) dosing regimes (affecting \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \)) and two temperatures in a flow-through aquarium system (for details, see Anthony et al., 2008). To approximate a range of qualitatively different functional responses to \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \) and \( T \) (e.g. Langdon & Atkinson, 2005), we used a model of the form
\[
G_{\text{net}} = \kappa_T (\Omega_{\text{arag}} - 1)^{x(T - T_{\text{opt}})}
\]  
(3)

where \( \kappa_T \) and \( x \) are temperature-dependent functions accounting for the strength and shape of the calcification response to variation in \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \) and \( T \). The temperature response of \( \kappa_T \) was assumed to be symmetrical around the optimal temperature for calcification (\( T_{\text{opt}} \), assumed to be near the maximum nonbleaching temperature of 27–28 °C at Heron Island) thus
\[
\kappa_T = z - \beta \frac{(T - T_{\text{opt}})^2}{T_{\text{opt}}},
\]  
(4)

where \( z \) and \( \beta \) are regression parameters. The fit of the model, which explained 83% of the variation in \( T \) and \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \) is shown in Fig. 2. The curvilinear response is consistent with the
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**Fig. 1** Conceptual model outlining the functional links between environmental factors, vital rates and dynamics of corals and macroalgae. Arrows indicate processes that have positive (+), negative (−) or unknown (?) effects on growth or survival. Solid arrows are primary processes that are included explicitly in the analyses whereas dashed arrows are secondary processes that are omitted from formal analyses but discussed.

(2) warming mainly affects coral mortality via bleaching, (3) grazing affects algal mortality only and (4) nutrients affect the growth rate of algae only. We acknowledge that each process may have multiple secondary effects and we have outlined these with dashed lines (Fig. 1). For example, warming may also lead to changes in the growth rates of macroalgae (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2007) and acidification may exacerbate bleaching (Anthony et al., 2008); or such processes may cause shifts in species composition which could modify the strength of the competitive processes and the outcomes. However, rather than accounting for secondary effects in the main analysis, we assess the potential role of such effects in separate sensitivity analyses.

We limit our analyses of coral resilience to members of the coral genus Acropora, which are the key source of surface reef structure, supporting a high diversity of associated reef species characteristic of the Indo-Pacific (Wallace, 1999; Veron, 2000; Bellwood & Hughes, 2001). Also, species of Acropora are generally sensitive to thermal stress (Marshall & Baird, 2000; Loya et al., 2001) and ocean acidification (Anthony et al., 2008), and thus are an important indicator group for estimating climate change and ocean acidification effects on coral reefs. For macroalgae we base our analyses on the genus Lobophora, which is frequently found in competition with branching Acropora (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2009).

The dynamics of corals, macroalgae and free space within 2-dimensional reef patches can be described by a set of two coupled differential equations where parameters \( d_C \) and \( g_M \) are the mortality rates of corals and macroalgae, respectively, the parameter \( a \) is the probability that macroalgae win over corals in space competition, and parameters \( \gamma \) and \( r_C \) are the conditional (relative) rates at which macroalgae and corals grow and recruit into areas of free space, respectively (see Table 1 for summary definitions of all variables and parameters used). All
response variation reported for individual coral colonies or branches (Langdon & Atkinson, 2005). Parameter estimates are presented in Table 1.

To estimate relative changes in rates of coral growth (calcification) as a function of ocean acidification and warming, we used the baseline transition probability that corals can colonize free space, \( r_{C\text{-base}} \) multiplied by the ratio of projected net rates of calcification, \( G_{\text{net}} \) (forced by projected \( \Omega_{\text{arag}} \) and \( T \)) relative to the calcification rate at the study baseline (380 ppm), \( G_{\text{net base}} \) (Fig. 3). Thus,

\[
r_C = r_{C\text{-base}} \frac{G_{\text{net}}}{G_{\text{net base}}} \tag{5a}
\]
Fig. 2 Variation in calcification responses of Acropora intermedia as a function of aragonite saturation state (Ωarag) and temperature (T) during 2-month experiments under varying CO2 dosing regimes. Data for 25.5 and 29 °C are from Anthony et al. (2008) and data for 27 °C are from Diaz-Pulido et al. (2010). The empirical model [Eqns (3) and (4)] explained 84% of the variation in Ωarag and T. See Table 1 for summary results of regression analysis and estimates for parameters i, z and β.

Contrary to ocean acidification, ocean warming occurs as stochastic events (thermal anomalies), projected to increase in frequency and severity (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Donner et al., 2009). To accommodate such stochasticity, we express annual coral mortality risk, dC, as a function of three variables: (1) background mortality in the absence of bleaching, dC-base, (2) the amount of coral bleaching due to thermal stress, B (percentage of Acropora colonies scored as pale or white, Maynard et al., 2008), which is a function of the size of the annual thermal anomaly, degree heating months (DHM, e.g. Eakin et al., 2009), and (3) the proportion of bleached corals expected to die during that year, dCB. Thus,

\[ d_C = d_{C\text{-base}} + B \times d_{CB} \]  

(6)

where \( R_{TB} \) is the probability that thermal bleaching will occur and \( DHM_{TH} \) is the thermal anomaly threshold for the onset of bleaching (Maynard et al., 2008). DHM for each year was calculated as the accumulated monthly exceedance of the maximum monthly mean temperature, \( T_{M\text{MM}} \), experienced during the previous decade

\[ DHM = \sum_{m=1}^{m-w} (T_{SB} - T_{M\text{MM}}), \]  

(8)

where \( m \) is months during the heating season. See Table 1 for a summary of parameter values.

Model simulations

We set the parameter baseline ranges for coral, \( r_{C\text{-base}} \), and macroalgal, \( \gamma \), growth rates to 50-90%, representing the probability that corals (Acropora) or macroalgae (Lobophora) will colonize a free space (turf) opened by a disturbance (Table 1). We chose similar ranges of baseline growth rates (linear horizontal extension) for both groups as this range approximates that observed for branching Acropora and Lobophora at Heron Island under herbivore exclusion (Diaz-Pulido et al., 2010). Also, by choosing broad ranges for \( r_{C\text{-base}} \) and \( \gamma \), model projections are likely to be representative of species groups rather than individual species.

We used projected aragonite saturation state, \( \Omega_{arag} \) and sea surface temperatures, T, for the 6-month period (October–March) that included the Austral summer for the southern Coral Sea (151.2–154.8°E, 21.6–23.4°S) as CO2-forced input variables into coral growth and mortality models under the fossil-fuel-intensive A1FI scenario by the IPCC (Nakicenovic et al., 2000). Projections of atmospheric CO2 and associated \( \Omega_{arag} \) and T were generated using the University of Victoria Earth system model (UVic), which simulates the global climate and carbon cycle (Weaver et al., 2001; Schmittner et al., 2008). To account for seasonal variation in T, annual means were blended with modelled seasonal variation and monthly satellite records (MODIS; oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov) for six sites in the region (Fig. 3). Projected sea surface temperatures were then used to estimate thermal anomalies and associated bleaching (Fig. 3) and mortality risks [Eqns (6)–(8)] as a function of CO2.

We set up simulations so that atmospheric CO2 levels (the predictor variable for \( \Omega_{arag} \) and T) were increased in 20 increments from 380 to 1000 ppm within each of three herbivore grazing rates on macroalgae (60%, 40% and 30%) to analyse explicitly the effects and potential interactions of ocean acidification, warming and grazing on the resilience of the simplified coral reef community used here. Rather than identifying the location of community equilibria, which are unrealistic by assuming the absence of acute (stochastic) disturbances, we estimated the frequency distribution of community states (coral or macroalgal abundance) during repeated (1000 model runs) 50-year community projections within each of the 20 CO2 bins and for each grazing scenario. The frequency and severity of stochastic disturbances such as thermal anomalies and cyclones were included in projections by modelling the variation in bleaching-induced mortality, \( d_{CB} \), and background coral mortality, \( d_{C\text{-base}} \) as Poisson distributions with means of 30% and 10%, respectively, and standard deviations of 10% (Table 1). To determine the change in resilience patterns across simulations, we used 10 different start combinations of corals and macroalgae (ranging from 0% to 100% cover) for each CO2 bin. This allowed us to determine the conditions under which the system allows single or alternate stable states (Scheffer et al., 2001), and the extent to which
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Results

Our analyses of the Acropora/Lobophora/turf system indicate that ocean acidification and warming are critical drivers of change in coral resilience via impacts on coral growth rates and survivorship. For this model community system, reefs characterized by Acropora assemblages with low background mortality (Table 1) and high grazing rates (60% annual removal) on fleshy macroalgae (Lobophora), mean coral abundance was projected to fall by more than 50% by the highest CO₂ level (1000 ppm, Fig. 4a). Interestingly, the predicted loss of corals did not lead to an increase in macroalgae (Fig. 5a), but instead an increase in free space (turf areas, not shown). Importantly, Figs 4 and 5 provide a direct view of resilience patterns as they are probability distributions (based on Monte Carlo simulations) of nonequilibrium coral and macroalgal abundances affected by press factors (grazing, acidification, nutrients) as well as disturbance frequency and intensity (bleaching and background disturbances such as cyclones).

A key result of our analyses was that warming and acidification interact with reduced grazing rates (due to overfishing or disease of herbivores) in the decline of coral resilience (i.e. referring to the probability patterns of coral abundance). Specifically, increasing CO₂ lowered the overfishing threshold leading to shifts between (1) coral-dominance (high coral resilience), (2) alternate coral–algal states (medium coral resilience) and (3) algal-dominance (very low coral resilience). Reducing grazing rates from 60% to 40% (e.g. simulating increased fishing of herbivores) lead to a decline in coral resilience in response to increasing CO₂ (Fig. 4b). Specifically, CO₂ levels above around 600 ppm incurred a regime shift to alternate coral–algal states and lead to macroalgal dominance at the highest CO₂ level (Figs 4b and 5b). Further lowering of the grazing rate to 30% exceeded the coral resilience threshold for any coral dominance above 400 ppm CO₂ (Fig. 4c and f). The combination of high CO₂ (700–1000 ppm) and low grazing (30%) led to a shift characterized by near complete loss of corals did not lead to an increase in macroalgae (Fig. 5a), but instead an increase in free space (turf areas, not shown). Importantly, Figs 4 and 5 provide a direct view of resilience patterns as they are probability distributions (based on Monte Carlo simulations) of nonequilibrium coral and macroalgal abundances affected by press factors (grazing, acidification, nutrients) as well as disturbance frequency and intensity (bleaching and background disturbances such as cyclones).

A key result of our analyses was that warming and acidification interact with reduced grazing rates (due to overfishing or disease of herbivores) in the decline of coral resilience (i.e. referring to the probability patterns of coral abundance). Specifically, increasing CO₂ lowered the overfishing threshold leading to shifts between (1) coral-dominance (high coral resilience), (2) alternate coral–algal states (medium coral resilience) and (3) algal-dominance (very low coral resilience). Reducing grazing rates from 60% to 40% (e.g. simulating increased fishing of herbivores) lead to a decline in coral resilience in response to increasing CO₂ (Fig. 4b). Specifically, CO₂ levels above around 600 ppm incurred a regime shift to alternate coral–algal states and lead to macroalgal dominance at the highest CO₂ level (Figs 4b and 5b). Further lowering of the grazing rate to 30% exceeded the coral resilience threshold for any coral dominance above 400 ppm CO₂ (Fig. 4c and f). The combination of high CO₂ (700–1000 ppm) and low grazing (30%) led to a shift characterized by near complete coral loss and an increase in macroalgal cover of up to 50% (Fig. 4c and f). Thus, for the modelled system, moderate to high herbivore grazing intensity can prevent losses in coral resilience under intermediate CO₂, but maximum grazing rates are required to maintain coral-dominated states under the very high CO₂ levels representative of the end point of the A1FI scenario.

The patterns of model sensitivity (Fig. 5) to large (25–30%) perturbations in the values of the four core parameters (baseline coral growth, r_C; algal growth, g; algal competition strength, α; and mortality risk of corals to thermal bleaching, d_CB), indicated that coral resilience patterns in Fig. 4 were generally robust to parameter...
variation for most combinations of CO₂ and grazing. Detailed analyses, however, indicated that coral abundance projections had different sensitivities to different parameters, depending on CO₂ and grazing scenario. Interestingly, whereas coral abundance projections were sensitive to coral growth for all combinations of CO₂ and grazing, they were only sensitive to the other three parameters for some combinations of CO₂ and grazing. Specifically, perturbing $r_{C\text{-base}}$ by 30% to either side of its mean value shifted the mode of the coral abundance peaks by 5–20% along the coral cover axis for most CO₂ and grazing scenarios. As coral growth rate was assumed to be driven by water carbon chemistry and temperature regime only, this sensitivity partly represented uncertainty in the effects of acidification and warming. However, sensitivity to coral growth variation was highest at high grazing and high CO₂ where corals were predicted to dominate, although at low abundance (Fig. 5a and b). Coral abundance projections were insensitive to changes in algal growth rate and algal competition strength for low and intermediate CO₂ and grazing; however, indicated that coral abundance projections were sensitive to the other three parameters for some combinations of CO₂ and grazing. Specifically, perturbing $r_{C\text{-base}}$ by 30% to either side of its mean value shifted the mode of the coral abundance peaks by 5–20% along the coral cover axis for most CO₂ and grazing scenarios. As coral growth rate was assumed to be driven by water carbon chemistry and temperature regime only, this sensitivity partly represented uncertainty in the effects of acidification and warming. However, sensitivity to coral growth variation was highest at high grazing and high CO₂ where corals were predicted to dominate, although at low abundance (Fig. 5a and b). Coral abundance projections were insensitive to changes in algal growth rate and algal competition strength for low and intermediate CO₂ at high grazing (Fig. 5a and b) where coral growth rates are high and algal biomass is controlled by herbivore grazing. At high CO₂, however, a high algal growth rate (90% transition probability from turfs to macroalgae) was predicted to promote a shift from coral dominance to a regime of alternate coral and algal states (red line in Fig. 5b). Conversely, for low grazing scenarios, coral abundance projections were sensitive to variation in algal growth rate indicating proximity to a phase-shift threshold. Specifically, a high algal growth rate promoted regime shifts to alternate coral–algal states at low and intermediate CO₂ (red lines in Fig. 5b) and shifts from alternate coral–algal states to complete algal dominance under high CO₂ (Fig. 5c). This indicates that bottom-up processes affecting algal productivity (e.g. nutrient enrichment and elevated temperature) become increasingly important as the top-down control of macro-algal biomass is reduced and CO₂ is increased, impairing coral growth and survivorship.
Interestingly, coral abundance projections were only highly sensitive to variation in the algal competition function, a, for intermediate and high CO2 under high grazing (Fig. 5cii & iii). This is partly due to the lower survivorship and growth rate of corals in these CO2 regimes. At low grazing and intermediate CO2, an increase in algal competition strength (red line in Fig. 5civ) enhanced the tendency for alternate coral-algal states to be formed.

Variation in mortality risk of bleached coral, d_CB, strongly affected the location of modes of coral abundance projections under high CO2, in particular at high grazing where corals were predicted to dominate (Fig. 5diii). Here, low mortality of bleached corals (20%) meant that coral abundance projections remained comparatively high (around 40% frequency of 60–70% cover) for all CO2 levels at high grazing. However, 20% mortality of bleached corals is likely to be unrealistically low under a high CO2 scenario and severe bleaching risk. Using a high mortality rate of bleached corals (40%) incurred a drop in coral abundance to below 20% cover. Under low grazing and high CO2, variation in bleaching mortality determined the extent to which coral abundance projections formed alternate coral-algal states or led to coral loss and algal dominance (panel vi in Fig. 5d).

Discussion

Overfishing and/or mass mortality of herbivores (Hughes, 1994) are considered to be major drivers of community phase shifts on coral reefs (Nystrom et al., 2000; Bellwood et al., 2004; Mumby et al., 2007). Although increasing atmospheric CO2 levels is recognized as a growing threat to coral reefs worldwide (Kleypas et al., 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007), the extent to which the consequent ocean acidification and warming will affect the resilience and dynamics of reef communities via interaction with overfishing forms a critical knowledge gap. Here we demonstrate, using a probabilistic resilience model building on the dynamics of a species pair of corals (Acropora) and fleshy macroalgae (Lobophora), that the effects of ocean acidification and warming on coral growth and mortality will have important impacts on coral reef resilience under increasing CO2. Specifically, by reducing coral growth (due to acidification) and survivorship (due to warming), increasing CO2 will lower the threshold value at which local and regional processes like herbivore overfishing and nutrification drive the study community from predominantly coral-dominated to predominantly algal-dominated states. Therefore, warming, acidification, overfishing and nutrification all drive the dynamics of the system in the same direction, suggesting that reduced coral resilience in a high-CO2 world is likely to be a consequence of both global threats and local-scale disturbances.

These findings have far-reaching implications for the health of coral reefs in the future for at least two reasons. Firstly, under a fossil-fuel intensive carbon
emission path (the A1FI scenario by the IPCC) – the current global trajectory – acidification effects on coral calcification and increased coral mortality from bleaching may potentially reduce *Acropora* abundance to less than half the current abundances despite high rates of grazing and low levels of nutrification. This indicates that management efforts to maintain healthy herbivore populations and high water quality will become increasingly critical as atmospheric CO2 levels rise – and that such efforts could be futile in the longer-term if efforts to curb emissions growth are unsuccessful. Thus, even coral reef systems with effective management systems in place such as the Great Barrier Reef (Fernandes *et al*., 2005) and Bonaire (Burke & Maidens, 2004) are predicted to suffer increased damage from high-carbon scenarios. Secondly, and in contrast, sensitivity analyses presented here suggest that under a low CO2 scenario (e.g. below 540 ppm) local management effective in maintaining or restoring healthy herbivore populations (high grazing) and low nutrients can increase the chances that reefs will remain coral-dominated. Based on the likely shift from coral dominance to algal dominance under the high CO2 and low grazing scenario in Fig. 4c, it can be inferred that coral reefs in developing nations, where most of the world’s reefs occur and overfishing and nutrification remain key concerns (Silvestre & Pauly, 1997; McClanahan, 1999; Jackson *et al*., 2001; Knowlton & Jackson, 2008), are particularly vulnerable to acidification and warming. While coral dominance is possible in our model projections under high CO2 and high grazing, the combination of high CO2 and low grazing (herbivore loss) leads to severe coral loss even under the highly conservative assumptions made here.

The results of this study generally support the conclusions of recent reviews (e.g. Hoegh-Guldberg *et al*., 2007) that increasing CO2 will exacerbate effects of overfishing and nutrification on reef ecosystems. However, by formally accounting for interactions between CO2 effects and local-scale processes on reef state probability under both pulse and press disturbance regimes, our model analyses provide novel quantitative estimates of resilience patterns and allow assessments of the relative contribution from environmental and ecological processes in maintaining resilience. Although our projections of *Acropora* abundance as a function of CO2 level do not constitute accurate predictions, they reflect the relative impact of various disturbances on a simplified reef community.

It is likely that our projections of coral abundance are overestimates, given that our analyses are based on a set of conservative assumptions with respect to effects of acidification and warming on corals and macroalgae. First, we consider ocean acidification to reduce the growth rate of corals (parameter \( r_C \)) and assume that the growth rate of macroalgae (parameter \( g \)) of the type used here (fleshy brown *Lobophora* sp.) will not be affected by acidification or warming. Results of recent experiments, however, indicate that the rate of linear extension of *Lobophora* is 20–40% enhanced under intermediate CO2 levels (560–700 ppm; but declined under higher CO2 concentrations, Diaz-Pulido *et al*., 2010). Secondly, we assume that the probability of competitive wins of macroalgae over corals (parameter \( a \)) will remain unchanged under varying CO2. Again, recent experiments indicate that ocean acidification strongly shifts the competitive coral–algal interaction in favour of the macroalgae (Diaz-Pulido *et al*., 2010), suggesting that \( a \) will increase with ocean acidification. Thirdly, our model assumes that rates of coral calcification are unaffected by bleaching, which is likely to overestimate coral growth rates under high CO2 levels where corals

---

**Table 2** Simulation scenarios and sets of parameter ranges used in sensitivity analyses to test robustness of community projections under varying parameter values for the four core vital rates of the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A: Scenarios</th>
<th>Key variables</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warming and acidification</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>380, 540, 1000 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overfishing</td>
<td>Herbivore grazing rate</td>
<td>30, 60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B: Vital rates</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coral growth</td>
<td>( r_C )-base</td>
<td>50, 90 (mean ± 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algal growth</td>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>50, 90 (mean ± 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral mortality from bleaching</td>
<td>( d_{CB} )</td>
<td>20, 40 (mean ± 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroalgal wins over corals (coefficient in function ( a ))</td>
<td>( w )</td>
<td>60, 100 (mean ± 25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroalgal wins over corals (exponent in function ( a ))</td>
<td>( \phi )</td>
<td>0.01, 0.015 (mean ± 25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Table 1 for descriptions of parameters and variables.
are likely to bleach (Carilli et al., 2009). Lastly, we do not formally consider effects of ocean acidification on the mortality risk of corals, thereby disregarding a likely lowering of the break resistance of corals during storms (Madin et al., 2008). Additionally, a recent study proposes that elevated nutrients can lower bleaching thresholds (Wooldridge & Done, 2009), suggesting that our model will underestimate bleaching-induced mortality in coastal regions.

Given the conservative assumptions listed here, the results of our model projections strongly suggest that the increase in atmospheric CO2 (ocean warming and acidification) and local-scale disturbances (overfishing and nutrientification) will both contribute to reducing the resilience of corals this century. Also, our findings that the abundance of corals under high CO2 is sensitive to variation in coral growth and mortality parameters as well as to variation in algal growth and competition parameters indicate that coral resilience patterns are driven by both CO2 (impacting mainly corals in our model via acidification and bleaching) and nutrientification (via algal growth). The increased sensitivity of the model projections for corals to variation in algal growth under low grazing (herbivore overfishing) indicates that nutrientification effects on coral resilience becomes particularly important in the proximity of threshold points for grazers control of algal biomass (Mumby et al., 2006). Further, the relatively low sensitivity of the model to parameter variation at high CO2 and low grazing suggests that algal-dominated and coral-depauperate systems are persistent. While the difficulty in reversing shifts from coral- to algal-dominance has been long known (Hughes, 1994; Mumby et al., 2007), the results of our analyses emphasize the risk of further increasing the persistence of undesirable reef states in a high-CO2 world.

In conclusion, our quantitative analyses of resilience patterns for a simplified model system bring into focus two core principles regarding the future of coral reefs under increasing CO2. Firstly, a failure to rapidly stabilize and reduce the concentration of CO2 in the Earth’s atmosphere is likely to lead to significant loss of key framework builders such as Acropora, irrespective of the effectiveness of local management. Secondly, local reef management efforts to maintain high herbivore grazing and low nutrients have the potential to play a critical role in maintaining coral resilience while CO2 concentrations are stabilized.
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