Avoiding Coral Reef Functional Collapse Requires Local and Global Action
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Summary

Coral reefs face multiple anthropogenic threats, from pollution and overfishing to the dual effects of greenhouse gas emissions: rising sea temperature and ocean acidification [1]. While the abundance of coral has declined in recent decades [2,3], the implications for humanity are difficult to quantify because they depend on ecosystem function rather than the corals themselves. Most reef functions and ecosystem services are founded on the ability of reefs to maintain their three-dimensional structure through net carbonate accumulation [4]. Coral growth only constitutes a part of the reef’s carbonate budget; bioerosion processes are influential in determining the balance between net structural growth and disintegration [5,6]. Here, we combine ecological models with carbonate budgets and drive the dynamics of Caribbean reefs with the latest generation of climate models. Budget reconstructions using documented ecological perturbations drive shallow (6–10 m) Caribbean forereefs toward an increasingly fragile carbonate balance. We then projected carbonate budgets toward 2080 and contrasted the benefits of local conservation and global action on climate change. Local management of fisheries (specifically, no-take marine reserves) and the watershed can delay reef loss by at least a decade under “business-as-usual” rises in greenhouse gas emissions. However, local action must be combined with a low-carbon economy to prevent degradation of reef structures and associated ecosystem services.

Results and Discussion

Coral reefs provide a wealth of ecosystem services, including the provision of coastal protection, commercial fishing, tourism, animal protein, sand production, and the highest biodiversity in the oceans [7]. Many of these services are ultimately founded on the healthy functioning of living corals and the habitat structures they create. Through their growth, corals generate skeletons of calcium carbonate (limestone) that provide a natural breakwater and the complex three-dimensional habitat needed to sustain biodiversity. Natural, ongoing erosion of this carbonate substrate generates sand accumulation on beaches and islands. The long-term maintenance of reef structures requires that the production of carbonate exceeds its rate of erosion; i.e., that the carbonate budget is positive [5]. However, carbonate budgets are acutely threatened by the combined effects of climate change and local anthropogenic stresses [8], and a recent study concluded that 21% of Caribbean reefs surveyed were experiencing net decline [9]. Rates of coral production may decline because of a suite of detrimental processes, including coral bleaching [10], ocean acidification [1], diseases [11,12], and a reduction in reef resilience [13]. Further, rates of erosion are projected to increase as ocean acidification slows coral growth, weakens reefs [14], and enhances sponge driven biochemical dissolution of the carbonate substrate [15].

Here, we couple models of climate change, ecosystem dynamics, and carbonate processes to ask whether reefs could shift to net erosional states and consider how threat mitigation at global and local scales might avoid this undesirable trajectory. We focus on Caribbean reefs for four reasons. First, much of the pioneering research on carbonate budgets was carried out in this region [6,16,17], thereby providing a benchmark to develop models and gauge changes in budgets over recent decades. Second, the low diversity of this region simplifies the challenge of modeling reef dynamics and carbonate budgets [13]. Third, Caribbean reefs have experienced profound levels of disturbance and degradation [2], and fourthly, these anthropogenic impacts have served as a bellwether for declines seen subsequently in other regions [18], meaning that there is an urgent need to understand future trajectories of ecosystem functioning.

Evidence suggests that Caribbean reefs have been losing architectural structure since the late 1970s (Figure 1A) [19] and that contemporary carbonate production rates on many reefs are now lower than those measured in core records over the last ~8,000 years [9]. These changes have been caused by widespread coral mortality, and while the drivers of mortality differ among sites, coral disease, hurricanes, overfishing, urchin die-off, and episodic bleaching events have all contributed. To explore the implications of these well-documented ecological events on the dynamics of reef structures, we developed several characteristic scenarios (Figures 1A and 1C) ranging from “healthy” intact ecosystems documented in the 1960s through to the present day (model speciﬁcation provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures available online). Key ecological events are (1) depletion of reef fish by fishing, (2) loss of large branching Acropora...
palmata and A. cervicornis, primarily because of disease, (3) hyperabundance of the urchin Diadema antillarum when its predators were overfished, (4) loss of Diadema because of disease, (5) poor watershed management leading to eutrophication, and (6) ongoing climate change from the 1960s onward. We also model the recuperation of some ecological processes through improved reef management or natural recovery [20].

**Historical Changes in Caribbean Reef Carbonate Budgets**

Although reef ecosystems were not pristine in the 1960s, our reconstruction of the environment and ecological structure yielded high mean rates of net carbonate production at 5.0 (±3.2) kg CaCO₃ m⁻² y⁻¹ and a maximum of 17.7 kg CaCO₃ m⁻² y⁻¹ (Figure 1B, scenario 1). By convention, the term G is used for net carbonate production with units kg CaCO₃ m⁻² y⁻¹ [21]. Moving forward to the 1970s, carbonate budgets show little difference when only greenhouse gas-driven changes in temperature and ocean acidification (OA) were added (Figure 1B, scenario 2a). These hind-casted budgets of net reef carbonate production are almost identical to those rates measured in several classic studies from the 1970s (Supplemental Experimental Procedures), which found that Caribbean reefs existed in positive budgetary states, primarily because of high rates of production by the species A. palmata and A. cervicornis. Measured rates ranged from 4.5 G [6], to 2.1 G [22] in Barbados and 1.1 G in Jamaica [17]. Similarly, a synthesis of regional forereef carbonate production measures...
from this period suggested that gross carbonate production rates in the region ranged from −10 to +17 G [23]. Many reefs had already experienced heavy fisheries exploitation by the 1960s and 1970s, resulting in depauperate fish communities and rapidly expanding populations of urchins, which were freed from their predators [24]. Under these circumstances, we found that the hyperabundance of bio-eroding Diadema shifted reefs toward a net loss of reef structure (−1.5 G; Figure 1B, scenario 2b). However, we add a cautionary note about this result for those systems that had a high abundance of crustose coralline algae (CCA). A Jamaican study site that exhibited a hyperabundance of Diadema (15 m⁻²) in 1978 was also dominated by CCA (55%) and 30% live coral [25]. For CCAs to be surviving under this grazing intensity, the reef could not have been in a net erosional state as would have been predicted by several carbonate budgets [26], including our own. Models usually calculate the erosive capacity of herbivores separately and then subtract this from observed rates of carbonate production for CCAs (and other carbonate producers). However, most measurements of CCA carbonate production implicitly include ambient erosion by fish and invertebrates, yet this is rarely quantified or considered further. Thus, models run the risk of overestimating the erosion of CCAs because observed CCA production is often net CCA production after herbivory. Empirical studies are needed to determine the accretion of CCA under a wide range of herbivore assemblages so that double-accounting can be avoided.

In the 1980s, two epizootics shaped the ecology of Caribbean reefs dramatically. First, both species of the branching coral, Acropora, experienced region-wide decline because of white band disease. Our early 1980s scenarios reflect this event through dramatic reductions in net carbonate production, such that even lightly fished reefs were pushed close to carbonate equilibrium (Figure 1B, scenario 3a, −0.01 G). Overfished reefs in the early 1980s show the most negative budget of −3.5 G, driven by high urchin bioerosion (−11.1 G) and reduced coral productivity (2.6 G). This budget is similar to that calculated on heavily exploited reefs in the tropical eastern Pacific (−0.6 to −3.6 G) [27]: sites at which extensive loss of reef structure was documented.

The second major epizootic was the regional mass mortality of the urchin D. antillarum in 1983–1984 [28]. The loss of this important herbivore generated a well-documented increase in algal abundance [24, 29], but the cessation of Diadema bioerosion also returned the reef to net carbonate accretion, albeit at lower levels than predicted for the 1960s (Figure 1). Positive budgets were possible, in part, because coral cover remained modest (Figure 1B, scenarios 4a–4d). Although internal bioerosion doubled under polluted scenarios (Figure 1B, scenarios 4c and 4d), the increase was insufficient to shift the system into net erosion (net budget +0.30 G). Again, modeled values of net carbonate production (1.9 to 2.7 G) were comparable to empirical estimates at the time, such as that from Saint Croix (0.9 G) [16].

Net carbonate budgets in archetypal reefs of the 1990s are positive, but the decline in coral after bleaching events in 1998 and 2005 [30–32] led to increasingly marginal carbonate production in the 2000s (Figure 1B). However, although net production remained positive, it is important to recognize that absolute levels of carbonate production and bioerosion have declined, principally because of reduced coral production and a loss of urchin and sometimes parrotfish bioerosion. The ecosystem therefore has lower rates of carbonate processes (Figure 2). In accordance with previous decades, modeled budget estimates are comparable to recent studies from Jamaica [33] and exposed sites of Bonaire [5].
The maintenance of a positive carbonate budget is a fundamental prerequisite to sustain many reef functions, such as the provision of habitat for biodiversity and fishery resources. To assess the action needed to sustain net carbonate production, we separated interventions to reduce local stressors from global efforts to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. Our first analysis considered the local action of protecting grazing parrotfishes, which have been found to reduce levels of seaweed on forereefs [39] and assist coral recovery [40]. We also contrasted a “business-as-usual” scenario of greenhouse gas emissions (based on HadGEM-2ES Earth System model scenario Representative Concentration Pathway, RCP 8.5 [41]) with a progressive move toward a low carbon economy (RCP 2.6), a scenario based low emissions and radiative forcing, that aims to keep global mean temperature increases below 2°C. In this first analysis, we assumed that the catastrophic losses of Acropora and the urchin Diadema persist and also compare the outlook for reefs with a “relatively healthy” 20% coral cover and a more degraded 10% cover, based on a synthesis of Caribbean coral cover values [42]. While scope exists for coral adaptation to rising stress [43], the extent of adaptation is uncertain [44], and we make the conservative assumption of no adaptation.

Ensuring Reef Function in Future: Local Management versus Global Action on Greenhouse Gas Emissions

The maintenance of a positive carbonate budget is a fundamental prerequisite to sustain many reef functions, such as the provision of habitat for biodiversity and fishery resources. To assess the action needed to sustain net carbonate production, we separated interventions to reduce local stressors from global efforts to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. Our first analysis considered the local action of protecting grazing parrotfishes, which have been found to reduce levels of seaweed on forereefs [39] and assist coral recovery [40]. We also contrasted a “business-as-usual” scenario of greenhouse gas emissions (based on HadGEM-2ES Earth System model scenario Representative Concentration Pathway, RCP 8.5 [41]) with a progressive move toward a low carbon economy (RCP 2.6), a scenario based low emissions and radiative forcing, that aims to keep global mean temperature increases below 2°C. In this first analysis, we assumed that the catastrophic losses of Acropora and the urchin Diadema persist and also compare the outlook for reefs with a “relatively healthy” 20% coral cover and a more degraded 10% cover, based on a synthesis of Caribbean coral cover values [42]. While scope exists for coral adaptation to rising stress [43], the extent of adaptation is uncertain [44], and we make the conservative assumption of no adaptation.

Only one set of interventions maintained substantial positive carbonate budgets until the end of simulations in 2080: local maintenance of grazing by protecting parrotfishes and concerted global action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Figure 3H). Moreover, clear positive budgets required reefs to start with relatively healthy coral as those starting with only 10% coral remained close to equilibrium (Figure 3F). If greenhouse gas emissions follow the business-as-usual trend
(RCP 8.5), then reefs eventually exhibit strong net erosion irrespective of local conservation measures (Figures 3A–3D). However, conservation of parrotfish managed to delay the onset of net erosion by approximately a decade, providing that the reef started with higher coral cover (Figures 3C and 3D). A nonlinear benefit of parrotfish protection with initial coral cover (Figures 3G and 3H) occurred because of ecosystem hysteresis [45]. At 20% initial cover, grazing intensity was high and coral recruitment was successful. However, 10% coral led to reduced grazing intensity because herbivores had a larger area in which to feed. Reduced grazing intensity allowed macroalgae to increase and reduce coral recruitment to the extent that coral populations were no longer sustainable. Under RCP 8.5, however, even high initial coral cover did not confer sufficient resilience, and the system was eventually overwhelmed by frequent coral bleaching.

The outlook for carbonate budgets improves when greenhouse gases are mitigated aggressively. Although only one scenario led to clear reef growth, the alternatives hovered near carbonate equilibrium under RCP 2.6 (Figures 3E–3G).

The impact of parrotfish conservation on more degraded reefs helps resolve the putative “negative” impact of parrotfish as a source of bioerosion from their positive impact in reducing the algal competitors of corals [46]. After parrotfish stocks are heavily fished, rates of bioerosion are lower and net carbonate production is initially greater (Figure 1B, scenarios 5a and 5b, and Figures 3E and 3F). However, because coral cover declines rapidly in the functional absence of parrotfish (Supplemental Experimental Procedures), the long-term consequence of fishing is worse for the reef because a decline in coral skeletal production leads to a lower overall carbonate budget (Figures 3E and 3F).

The benefits of local action are not confined to managing parrotfish. Poor management of agricultural runoff and waste water can increase nutrient levels and influence macroalgal growth [47], coral calcification [48, 49], and rates of bioerosion [50–52]. Indeed, eutrophication is likely to be highly influential on the balance between carbonate production and erosion [35, 53]. We found qualitatively similar results simulating the effects of eutrophication in which an increase in nitrate concentration of 0.22 μmol liter⁻¹ prevented long-term net carbonate production even under RCP 2.6 (Figure 4C).

It has been argued that the lack of resilience of Caribbean reefs is strongly associated with the disease-induced absence of the fast-growing coral, A. cervicornis, and/or the urchin, Diadema [42]. We simulated their recovery under RCP 8.5 but found that neither allowed sustained positive reef growth, although reefs fared better with A. cervicornis (Figures 4A and 4B). Whether these key species can make a full recovery is highly uncertain. Ambiguity also surrounds the effects of ocean acidification on net calcification with falling aragonite saturation state. However, much less extreme reductions in calcification have also been reported [58]. We repeated the business-as-usual greenhouse gas emissions but substituted a more benign impact of ocean acidification on net calcification (Figure 4D). Although carbonate budgets improved, the overall result remained unchanged; even with parrotfish protection, no eutrophication, and an initial cover of 20% coral, carbonate budgets eventually became strongly negative (see also Figure S2), This is likely because the effects of ocean acidification on corals appeared to be considerably less influential in driving the negative budget projections than rising SSTs, agreeing with other recent work [59].

The assessment of coral reefs for management has largely focused on ecological variables such as coral cover, coral size distribution, and fish abundance [5]. Yet the ultimate goals of most management are founded on the functions delivered by reefs as three-dimensional geological structures. We propose that carbonate budgets could be used to set target levels of coral, water quality, and herbivory that enable reefs to be maintained in positive accretion and therefore better able to deliver the biodiversity and livelihood goals of reef management. Although better local management should always favor reef function, there was no a priori reason to expect that the combination of local and global interventions would have the potential to sustain net carbonate accretion in the 21st century. Yet our results suggest that local interventions are far from futile [60], and indeed are essential for assuring sustained ecosystem functioning. Unfortunately, only three countries in the region have taken steps to protect herbivorous fish throughout their coastal zone (Belize, Bermuda, and Bonaire), so protection is usually confined to small no-take marine reserves. We also provide unambiguous evidence that local efforts must be accompanied by rigorous global action to mitigate climate change.

**Experimental Procedures**

A simulation model was created in Matlab (MATLAB 7.1, The MathWorks, Natick, MA, 2000). Model parameters were drawn from published literature.
on Caribbean reefs, some unpublished data, and climate data from IPCC AR5 earth system models (see Figure S3). One hundred fifteen parameters were defined in total, and each was assigned a mean value and a standard deviation (Table S1). All model parameters and scenarios are provided in detail in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental Information includes nine figures, four tables, and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.020.
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